1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
	WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
2	HARRISONBURG DIVISION
3	
4	WYNN'S EXTENDED CARE, INC.,
5	Plaintiff and
	Counter-Defendant, Case No. 5:13-cv-00114
6	
7	V
8	
	PENNY L. BRADLEY,
9	
	Defendant and
10	Counter-Plaintiff.
	/
11	
12	The 30(b)(6) deposition of DANIEL
	ULATOWSKI, corporate representative of CREDIT
13	ACCEPTANCE CORPORATION, taken pursuant to Notice of
	Taking Deposition before Nancy Kirchoff, Certified
14	Shorthand Reporter, a Notary Public within and for the
	County of Livingston, State of Michigan, at 355 S. Old
15	Woodward Avenue, Suite 100, Birmingham, Michigan, on
	Thursday, September 11, 2014, at or about 1:15 p.m.
16	
17	THIS DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT AND/OR CERTAIN EXHIBITS
	THERETO CONTAIN CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL SUBJECT TO
18	PROTECTIVE ORDER.
19	
20	APPEARANCES:
21	JORDAN COYNE LLP
	By: Virginia Sadler
22	10509 Judicial Drive
	Suite 200
23	Fairfax, VA 22030
	(703) 246-0900
24	Appearing by Telephone on behalf of
	Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant
25	

Τ	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED):
2	
3	THOMAS D. DOMONOSKE (VSB No. 35434)
	461 Lee Avenue
4	Harrisonburg, VA 22802
	(540) 442-7706
5	tomdomonoske@earthlink.net
	Appearing on behalf of
6	Defendant/Counter-Plaintiff
7	SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM, LLP
	By: Patrick G. Rideout
8	Four Times Square
	New York, NY 10036
9	(212) 735-2702
	patrick.rideout@skadden.com
10	Appearing on behalf of Witness
11	CREDIT ACCEPTANCE
	By: Gregg Elzey
12	25505 West 12 Mile Road
	Southfield, MI 48034-8334
13	(248) 353-2700x5530
	gelzey@creditacceptance.com
14	Appearing on behalf of Witness
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	TABLE OF CONTEN!	I S	
2			
3	WITNESS PAGE NUMBER		
4	DANIEL ULATOWSKI/CREDIT ACCEPTANCE CORPOR	ATION	
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Domonoske	е	4
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
	EXHIBITS		
13			
	EXHIBIT NUMBER	MARKED	
14			
15	1 - Corporate Deposition Designation (Before	re Depos	sition)
	2 - Retail Installment Contract16		
16	3 - Used Vehicle Service Contract/Applica	tion	23
	4 - Protective Order	56	
17	5 - Redactions	84	
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	Birmingham, Michigan
2	Thursday, September 11, 2014
3	At or about 1:15 p.m.
4	
5	DANIEL ULATOWSKI
6	corporate representative for CREDIT ACCEPTANCE
7	CORPORATION, after having been first duly
8	sworn, testified as follows:
9	DIRECT EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. DOMONOSKE:
11	Q Can you say your name and spell it for the
12	court reporter so we can have it on the record?
13	A Daniel Ulatowski, D-A-N-I-E-L, last name is
14	Ulatowski, U-L-A-T-O-W-S-K-I.
15	Q Mr. Ulatowski, do you understand that you are
16	giving testimony today on behalf of Credit Acceptance
17	Corporation?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Do you work for Credit Acceptance Corporation?
20	A Yes, I do.
21	Q What is your position?
22	A Chief Sales Officer.
23	Q And how long have you had that position?
24	A It's a good question. Not long. I don't
25	remember the exact date.

- 1 different issues.
- 2 A Would you like to restate the question?
- 3 Q Sure. Do you know when the first
- 4 communications with Ms. Bradley by CAC was about the
- 5 service contract?
- 6 A Based on the information I have, February 13,
- 7 2013.
- 8 Q Let's take up 5.
- 9 MR. RIDEOUT: You mean on the deposition
- 10 notice?
- MR. DOMONOSKE: Yes.
- 12 Q 5 asked about the computer account records
- 13 that show communications with February, 2013, so I went
- 14 to five based on your answer right there. What do
- those records show about those communications in
- 16 February of 2013?
- 17 MR. RIDEOUT: I will just object to the
- 18 form, but you can answer.
- 19 A I can read you the note from February 13, 2013,
- 20 at 12:20 p.m.
- 21 Q Sure.
- 22 A "Maker called and stated that she spoke with
- 23 Wynn's and was told that she doesn't have a service
- 24 contract. She is stating that the dealer hasn't
- 25 refunded the money back for that service, advised that

- a letter was mailed out on 8/28/12, stating that her
- 2 vehicle is not eligible for coverage and a credit of
- 3 \$2,713.68 was applied to her account on 8/31/12.
- 4 Requested payment history. She stated that she may be
- 5 taking legal action against the dealer as he told her
- 6 to disregard the letter and told her that when he
- 7 contacted Wynn's, he was told that they wouldn't cover
- 8 the parts she needs for her repairs. Advised of next
- 9 AP draft and self-service options."
- 10 Q Were there any other account records in
- 11 February of 2013 about the service contract?
- 12 A It looks like there was one other in February
- 13 of 2013.
- 14 Q What did that one say?
- 15 A It said, "She needs to postpone next payment,
- 16 maker said needs repairs on unit, needs to stop auto
- pay. Said the dealer is giving her hard time over
- 18 warranty, she is going to seek out legal advice. Maker
- 19 stopped February auto pay and will do OTO on 1st for
- 20 200 and on 15th, 201.93.
- 21 Q And were there any other records about
- 22 communications with Ms. Bradley about the service
- 23 contract?
- 24 A Not to my knowledge.
- 25 Q Let's go on to number 2 on the deposition

- 1 that was one of the hooks, if you will, for the dealer.
- 2 So when we did launch CAPS 2.0, they would be part of
- 3 the pilot group that got access to it.
- 4 Q Was there a time period where dealers could
- 5 choose between accessing CAPS 2.0 and accessing the
- 6 prior system?
- 7 A My understanding is, yes, that is correct.
- 8 Q And do you know the overlap of that time
- 9 period?
- 10 A My understanding is 30 days.
- 11 Q And was that in October of 2012?
- 12 A I don't know.
- 13 Q After 30 days, was the prior system then no
- 14 longer available?
- 15 MR. RIDEOUT: Object to the form of the
- 16 question. You can answer it.
- 17 A My understanding is that's correct.
- 18 Q Did CAC provide any monitoring of Armstrong
- 19 Auto's sale of Wynn service contracts?
- MR. RIDEOUT: Objection.
- 21 A I don't understand the question.
- 22 Q Did they monitor how Armstrong Auto was selling
- 23 service contracts?
- 24 A No.
- 25 Q Did they send anyone to supervise or guide him

- in the sale of service contracts?
- 2 MR. RIDEOUT: Objection, form of the
- 3 question. Is they Wynn's?
- 4 MR. DOMONOSKE: Wynn's.
- 5 Q Did Credit Acceptance send anyone to supervise
- or guide Armstrong Auto Sales in the sales of service
- 7 contracts?
- 8 MR. RIDEOUT: Objection.
- 9 MS. SADLER: Objection, relevance,
- 10 foundation.
- 11 A Our market area managers would have trained
- 12 Armstrong on CAPS and they would have referenced the
- 13 rate sheet.
- 14 Q Other than that, did they provide any sort of
- 15 supervision or monitoring of his sale of service
- 16 contracts?
- 17 A I don't know.
- 18 MS. SADLER: Objection, foundation,
- 19 relevance.
- 21 market area manager for Armstrong Auto Sales?
- 22 A I do not know.
- 23 Q Do you know who his market area manager is now?
- 24 A I do not.
- 25 Q Do you know when Mr. Raley stopped working for